arXiv:1204.1430v1 [math.FA] 6 Apr 2012

FOURIER RESTRICTION THEOREM AND CHARACTERIZATION OF WEAK L^2 EIGENFUNCTIONS OF THE LAPLACE–BELTRAMI OPERATOR

PRATYOOSH KUMAR

Abstract. In this paper we prove the Fourier restriction theorem for $p = 2$ on Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type with real rank one which extends the earlier result proved in [19, Theorem 1.1]. This result depends on the weak L^2 estimates of the Poisson transform of L^2 function. By using this estimate of the Poisson transform we also characterizes all weak L^2 eigenfunction of the Laplace–Beltrami operator of Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type with real rank one and eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

1. Introduction

Let $X = G/K$ be a rank one symmetric space of non compact type. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_\lambda F$ of $F \in L^1(K/M)$, is given by

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(x) = \int_{K/M} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)} F(b)db.$$

For the meaning of symbols we refer the reader to section 2. It is well known that $\mathcal{P}_\lambda F$ is an eigenfunction of the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ with eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$ [13, page 100]. A celebrated result of Helgason (and Kashiwara et al. for higher rank) says that if u is an eigenfunction of Laplace–Beltrami operator on X then u is the Poisson transform of an analytic functional T defined on K/M [13, Chapter V, Theorem 6.6].

In this paper we are interested only in such eigenfunctions which are Poisson transforms of $L^1(K/M)$ functions. In [8] Frustenberg proved that u is a bounded harmonic function (i.e. $\Delta u = 0$) if and only if u is a Poisson integral of bounded function on K/M (the Poisson transform corresponding to $\lambda = -i\rho$ is known as the Poisson integral). The characterization of eigenfunctions which are Poisson transform of an L^p function was studied extensively from then onwards [21, 23, 25, 2, 28, 14, 3]. The following L^p analogue of Frustenberg's result was proved in [21, 23] for other values of p:

Let $1 \leq p < 2$, $\lambda = \alpha + i\gamma_{p'}\rho$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $\Delta u = -(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)u$. *Then* $u \in L^{p',\infty}(X)$ *if and only if* $u = \mathcal{P}_\lambda F$ *for some* $F \in L^{p'}(K/M)$. *Moreover,*

$$\|\mathcal{P}_{\alpha+i\gamma_{p'}\rho} F\|_{p',\infty} \leq \|F\|_{p'}. \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43A85; Secondary 22E30.

Key words and phrases. Weak L^2 spaces, eigenfunction, Laplace-Beltrami operator, symmetric space, Damek-Ricci space.

This work is supported by vising scientist fellowship of Indian Statistical Institute, Kolkata (India).

If $p = 2$ *then* $u = \mathcal{P}_0 F$ *with* $F \in L^2(K/M)$*, if and only if* $\Delta u = -\rho^2 u$ *and the function* $h(ka_t.o) = (1+t)^{-1}u(ka_t.o)$ *is in* $L^{2,\infty}(X)$*.*

In the above $\gamma_p = (\frac{2}{p} - 1)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and hence $\gamma_{p'} = -\gamma_p$ where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$. The estimate (1.1) played a fundamental role in the development of harmonic analysis on Riemannian symmetric spaces. By using the estimate (1.1) for $1 < p < 2$, Lohoué and Rychener [21] obtained the following continuous inclusion

$$L^{p,1}(G) * L^{p,1}(G/K) \subseteq L^{p,1}(G).$$

Cowling et al. in [5] proved an elegant generalization of this result. They proved a convolution relation of the following form

$$L^{p,r}(G) * L^{p,s}(G) \subseteq L^{p,t}(G), \tag{1.2}$$

where $1 < p < 2$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} \geq \frac{1}{t}$ and $r, s, t \in [1, \infty]$. Finally in [15] Ionescu obtained the end point version of (1.2)

$$L^{2,1}(G) * L^{2,1}(G) \subseteq L^{2,\infty}(G). \tag{1.3}$$

Coming back to estimate 1.1, we note that this generalizes the well known behavior of the elementary spherical function ϕ_λ in terms of certain natural size estimates of the Poisson transform. For the reader's benefit we will explain this point in detail. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the elementary spherical function ϕ_λ is given by

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \mathcal{P}_\lambda 1(x) = \int_{K/M} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)}db.$$

If $1 \leq p < 2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ then the well known estimate $|\phi_{\alpha + i\gamma_{p'}\rho}(a_t)| \asymp e^{-2\rho t/p'}$ $(t \geq 0)$ ([22, Lemma 3.2]) implies that $\phi_{\alpha+i\gamma_{p'}\rho}$ belongs to $L^{p',\infty}(G)$ (the notation $U \asymp W$ for two positive functions mean that there exist positive constants c_1, c_2 such that $c_1 U(x) \leq W(x) \leq c_2 U(x)$ for appropriate values of x). The estimate (1.1) can be thought of as a generalization of this fact. However, the case $p = 2$ is little different. It is known from the work of Harish-Chandra and a subsequent refinement by Anker that $\phi_0(a_t) \asymp (1+t)^{-1}e^{-\rho t}$ $(t \geq 0)$ ([1, page 656]). Hence $\phi_0 \notin L^{2,\infty}(G)$. But it is not hard to show that $\phi_0 \in L^q(G)$ for all $q > 2$. This was generalized in [4] in the form of the following estimate: for all $q \in (2, \infty]$ there exists a constant $C_q > 0$ such that for all $F \in L^2(K/M)$ one has the inequality $\|P_0 F\|_{L^q(X)} \leq C_q \|F\|_{L^2(K/M)}$. We now focus on the case $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. It follows from the Harish-Chandra series for elementary spherical functions that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ the function ϕ_λ satisfies the stronger estimate $|\phi_\lambda(a_t)| \leq C_\lambda e^{-\rho t}$ for all $t \geq 0$ ([16, (3.11)]). Hence $\phi_\lambda \in L^{2,\infty}(G)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. We will show that this also holds true for Poisson transforms $P_\lambda F$ for $F \in L^2(K/M)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. As all radial eigenfunction of Δ with $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$ are constant multiple of ϕ_λ it follows that for a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ all radial eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$ belongs to $L^{2,\infty}(X)$. One of our aim in this paper is to characterize all weak L^2 eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalue $-(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)$ for a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$.

The main result we prove in this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *then there exists a constant* $C_\lambda > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\lambda F\|_{2,\infty} \le C_\lambda \|F\|_{L^2(K/M)}, \quad \text{for all } F \in L^2(K/M). \tag{1.4}$$

If $\Delta u = -(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)u$ *then* $u \in L^{2,\infty}(X)$ *if and only if* $u = \mathcal{P}_\lambda F$ *for some* $F \in L^2(K/M)$.

It is important to realize that Poisson transforms are really certain matrix coefficient of the class one principal series representation. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ the class one principal series representation π_λ of a semisimple Lie group G are realized on $L^2(K/M)$ and are given by

$$\pi_\lambda(g)f(kM) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(g^{-1}k)}F(k(g^{-1}k)).$$

It is known that for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ the representation π_λ is unitary and irreducible. It is also known that π_λ and $\pi_{-\lambda}$ are unitarily equivalent. Using the description of π_λ given above the Poisson transform of $F \in L^2(K/M)$ can also be written as

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(g \cdot o) = \left\langle \pi_\lambda(g)1, \bar{F} \right\rangle.$$

Theorem 1.1 thus shows that $| \langle \pi_\lambda(\cdot)1, F \rangle |$ belongs to $L^{2,\infty}(G/K)$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \in L^2(K/M)$. It is obvious from the estimates of ϕ_0 mentioned earlier that such a result is not expected if $\lambda = 0$.

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Swagato K. Ray and Rudra P. Sarkar for suggesting this problem to me. I would also like to thank them for several discussion on the subject.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

In this section we summarize some standard results on noncompact semisimple Lie group and associated symmetric space which will be required. Most of our notation are standard and can be found in [10, 12]. Let G be a connected noncompact semisimple Lie group with finite center, and $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Lie algebra of G. Let θ be a Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ be the associated Cartan decomposition. Let $K = \exp \mathfrak{k}$ be a maximal compact subgroup of G and let $X = G/K$ be the associated Riemannian symmetric space. If $o = eK$ denotes the identity coset then for $g \in G$ the quantity $r(g)$ denotes the Riemannian distance of the coset $g.o$ from the identity coset. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a maximal abelian subspace of $\mathfrak{p}$, $A = \exp \mathfrak{a}$ be the corresponding subgroup of G, and M the centralizer of A in K.

Now onward we will assume that the group G has real rank one that is dim $\mathfrak{a} = 1$. In this case it is well known that the set of nonzero roots is either of the form $\{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ or $\{-\alpha, -2\alpha, \alpha, 2\alpha\}$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ be the root spaces corresponding to the roots α and 2α respectively. Let $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ and N =exp $\mathfrak{n}$. Let H_0 be the unique element of $\mathfrak{a}$ such that $\alpha(H_0) = 1$ and $A = \{a_s : a_s = \exp sH_0, s \in \mathbb{R}\}$. We identify $\mathfrak{a}^*$ (the dual of $\mathfrak{a}$) and $\mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}}$ (the complex dual of $\mathfrak{a}$) by $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ via the identification $t \mapsto t\alpha$ and $z \mapsto z\alpha$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$ respectively. Let $m_1 =$ dim $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$, $m_2 =$ dim $\mathfrak{g}_{2\alpha}$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + 2m_2)\alpha$ be the half sum of positive roots. By abuse of notation we will denote $\rho(H_0) = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + 2m_2)$ by ρ. Let $G = KAN$ be the Iwasawa decomposition of G that is , any $g \in G$ can be uniquely written as

$$g = k(g)\ \exp H(g)\ n(g) \tag{2.5}$$

where $k(g) \in K$, $H(g) \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $n(g) \in N$. For $F \in L^1(K/M)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ $(= \mathfrak{a}^*_{\mathbb{C}})$ the Poisson transform $P_\lambda F$ is a function on X defined by the formula

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(x) = \int_{K/M} e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)} F(b) db, \tag{2.6}$$

where $A(gK, kM) = -H(g^{-1}k)$.

Let $\bar{N} = \exp(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha})$ and $G = \bar{N}AK$ be the corresponding Iwasawa decomposition of G. Let $dk, d\bar{n}$ and dm be the normalized Haar measure on $K, \bar{N}$ and M such that

$$\int_K 1\ dk = 1; \quad \int_M 1\ dm = 1; \quad \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))} d\bar{n} = 1$$

$$\int_G f(g)\ dg = c \int_{\bar{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_K f(\bar{n} a_t k) e^{2\rho t}\ d\bar{n}\ dt\ dk. \tag{2.7}$$

We will also need the following change of variable formula relating integrals on K/M and integrals on $\bar{N}$ [12, Chapter I, Theorem 5.20]

$$\int_{K/M} F(b)\ db = \int_{\bar{N}} F(k(\bar{n})M) e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{n}))}\ d\bar{n}. \tag{2.8}$$

We also have the cartan decomposition $G = K\overline{A^+}K$ where $\overline{A^+} = \{a_t \in A : t \geq 0\}$. The functions defined on X can also be viewed as right K-invariant function on G. The K-biinvariant functions of G are called radial function. The Haar measure related to the Cartan decomposition is given by

$$\int_G f(g)\ dg = C \int_K \int_0^\infty \int_K f(k_1 a_t k_2)(\sinh t)^{m_1} (\sinh 2t)^{m_2}\ dk\ dt\ dk. \tag{2.9}$$

The nilpotent subgroup $\bar{N}$ can be identified with $\mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ via a natural map $\bar{n} = \exp(V + Z) \to (V, Z)$, where $m_1 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}$, $m_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g}_{-2\alpha}$, $V \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m_2}$. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the dilation δ_t on subgroup $\bar{N}$ is an automorphism given by $\delta_t(\bar{n}) = a_{-t}\bar{n}a_t$. Writing $\bar{n} = (V, Z)$ it can be written more explicitly as: $\delta_t(V, Z) = (e^t V, e^{2t} Z)$. We define the function $|\bar{n}|$ on $\bar{N}$ by

$$|\bar{n}| = |(V, Z)| = (c^2|V|^4 + 4c|Z|^2)^{1/4}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $c = \frac{1}{4(m_1+m_2)}$. This function has the property that $|\delta_t(\bar{n})| = e^t|\bar{n}|$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we define the kernel K_λ by the formula

$$K_\lambda(\bar{n}) = |\bar{n}|^{-(Q+i2\lambda)}, \text{ for } |\bar{n}| \neq 0 \tag{2.11}$$

where $Q = 2\rho$ is the homogeneous dimension of $\bar{N}$. L^2 boundedness of the convolution operator defined by kernel K_λ have been studied in [18, Section I] (see also [7, Theorem 6.19]). We define the truncated kernels $K_{\lambda,\eta}$ by

$$K_{\lambda,\eta}(\bar{n}) = K_\lambda(\bar{n}) \chi_{\{\bar{n} \in \bar{N} | |\bar{n}| \geq \eta\}}(\bar{n}), \quad \bar{n} \in \bar{N},$$

and the corresponding convolution operator T_η by

$$T_\eta\psi(\bar{n}) = \int_{\bar{N}} \psi(\bar{n}_1)K_{\lambda,\eta}(\bar{n}_1^{-1}\bar{n})d\bar{n}_1, \quad \psi \in C_c^\infty(N), \bar{n} \in \bar{N}.$$

We will need to consider the following maximal operator associated to the truncated kernel $K_{\lambda,\eta}$ defined by

$$T_*\psi(\bar{n}) = \sup_{\eta>0}|T_\eta\psi(\bar{n})| = \sup_{\eta>0}\left|\int_{|\bar{n}_1|\geq\eta} \psi(\bar{n}\bar{n}_1)\frac{1}{|\bar{n}_1|^{Q+i2\lambda}}\, d\bar{n}_1\right|.$$

By using the argument given in [26, page 33-page 36] (see also [26, page 627]) we have the following result regarding the operator T_*

Theorem 2.1. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ then there exists a constant $C_\lambda > 0$ such that*

$$\|T_*\psi\|_{L^2(\bar{N})} \leq C_\lambda\|\psi\|_{L^2(\bar{N})}, \tag{2.12}$$

for all $\psi \in L^2(\bar{N})$.

In the following we collect some basic facts about Lorentz spaces which will be used in this paper (see [9, 27] for details). Let (M, m) be a σ-finite measure space, $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measurable function. The distribution function $d_f : (0,\infty) \longrightarrow (0,\infty]$ and nonincreasing rearrangement $f^* : (0,\infty) \longrightarrow (0,\infty]$ of f are defined by formulae

$$d_f(s) = m(\{x \in M) : |f(x)| > s\}) \quad \text{and} \quad f^*(t) = \inf\{s \mid d_f(s) \leq t\}.$$

For $p \in [1,\infty)$, $q \in [1,\infty]$ we define,

$$\|f\|^*_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q}{p}\int_0^\infty [f^*(t)t^{1/p}]^q \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/q} & \text{when } q < \infty \\ \sup_{t>0} t^{1/p}f^*(t) = \sup_{t>0} td_f(t)^{1/p} & \text{when } q = \infty. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

For $p \in [1,\infty)$, $q \in [1,\infty]$ we define the *Lorentz space* $L^{p,q}(M)$ as follows:

$$L^{p,q}(M) = \{f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} : f \quad \text{measurable and} \quad \|f\|^*_{p,q} < \infty\}.$$

By $L^{\infty,\infty}(M)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\infty,\infty}$ we mean respectively the space $L^\infty(M)$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ we also have $L^{p,p}(M) = L^p(M)$. For $1 < p < \infty$ the space $L^{p,\infty}$ is known as weak L^p space and also $L^{p,q} \subset L^{p,s}$ for all $1 \leq q \leq s \leq \infty$. For $1 < p < \infty$ and $1 \leq q < \infty$, the dual (the space of all continuous linear functional) of $L^{p,q}(M)$ is $L^{p',q'}(M)$. Everywhere in this paper any $p \in [1,\infty)$ is related to p' by the relation $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p'} = 1$.

We will follow the standard practice of using the letter C for constant, whose value may change from one line to another. Occasionally the constant C will be suffixed to show its dependency on related parameter. The letters $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ will denote the set of complex and real numbers respectively.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

The important part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following norm estimate. Our proof of the following Proposition uses an argument similar to one given in [24].

Proposition 3.1. *If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *then there exists a constant* $C_\lambda > 0$ *such that for all* $F \in L^2(K/M)$,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\lambda F\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} \leq C_\lambda \|F\|_{L^2(K/M)}.$$

Proof. In view of the Iwasawa decomposition $G = \bar{N}AK$ the symmetric space X can be identified with $\bar{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ via the map $(\bar{n}, t) \to \bar{n}a_t \cdot o$. If $F \in L^2(K/M)$ and $\bar{n}a_t \cdot o \in X$, then from the definition (2.6) of the Poisson transform and (2.8) we have,

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(\bar{n}a_t \cdot o) = \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(a_{-t}\bar{n}^{-1}k(\bar{m}))} F(k(\bar{m})M) e^{-2\rho(H(\bar{m}))} d\bar{m}.$$

As A normalizes N it follows from the Iwasawa decomposition (2.5) that

$$-H(a_{-t}\bar{n}^{-1}k(\bar{m})) = -H(\delta_t(\bar{n}^{-1}\bar{m})) + H(\bar{m}) + t$$

(see [16, page 518]). By using the last two equality we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_\lambda F(\bar{n}a_t \cdot o) &= e^{(i\lambda+\rho)t} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(\delta_t(\bar{n}^{-1}\bar{m})} F(k(\bar{m})M) e^{(i\lambda-\rho)(H(\bar{m}))} d\bar{m} \\ &= e^{(i\lambda+\rho)t} \int_{\bar{N}} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(\delta_t(\bar{m}))} \psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})\, d\bar{m}, \qquad (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

where ψ is a function defined on $\bar{N}$ given by $\psi(\bar{m}) = F(k(\bar{m})M)e^{(i\lambda-\rho)(H(\bar{m}))}$. Using the integral formula (2.8) one has $\|\psi\|_{L^2(\bar{N})} = \|F\|_{L^2(K/M)}$. If we write $\bar{m} = (V, Z)$ then $e^{\rho H(\bar{m})}$ is given by the formula

$$e^{\rho(H(\bar{m}))} = [(1 + c|V|^2)^2 + 4c|Z|^2]^{\frac{1}{4}(m_1+2m_2)},$$

where $c^{-1} = 4(m_1 + 4m_2)$ (see [13, Chapter II, Theorem 6.1]). Thus from the above formula, definition of norm (2.10) and relation $\delta_t(\bar{m}) = (e^t V, e^{2t} Z)$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)H(\delta_t(\bar{m}))} &= \frac{1}{[(1 + c|e^t V|^2)^2 + 4c|e^{2t} Z|^2]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{2(i\lambda+\rho)t}[(e^{-2t} + c|V|^2)^2 + 4c|Z|^2]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{e^{2(i\lambda+\rho)t}[(e^{-4t} + 2ce^{-2t}|V|^2) + |\bar{m}|^4]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}}. \qquad (3.15) \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.15) in (3.14) we get the following expression of the Poisson transform

$$\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(\bar{n}a_t \cdot o) = e^{-(i\lambda+\rho)t} \int_{\bar{N}} \frac{1}{[(e^{-4t} + 2ce^{-2t}|V|^2) + |\bar{m}|^4]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}} \psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})\, d\bar{m}. \qquad (3.16)$$

We will now follow an argument of Sjögren [24, page 108] to dominate the above integral by two maximal functions whose L^p behaviors are known. We first restrict the integral over the ball $B(e^{-t}) = \{\bar{m} \in \bar{N} : |\bar{m}| \leq e^{-t}\}$ in $\bar{N}$. Since the Haar measure of $\bar{N}$ is the Lebesgue measure it follows that the measure of $B(e^{-t})$ is proportional to e^{-Qt}. In this case we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{B(e^{-t})} \frac{1}{[(e^{-4t}+2ce^{-2t}|V|^2)+|\bar{m}|^4]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}}\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})\, d\bar{m}\right| &\leq \frac{1}{e^{-Qt}}\int_{B(e^{-t})}|\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})|\, d\bar{m} \\ &\leq CM_0\psi(\bar{n}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

where M_0 is the standard Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on $\bar{N}$. It is well known that the operator M_0 is bounded from $L^p(\bar{N})$ to $L^p(\bar{N})$ for $1 < p \leq \infty$ [26, Theorem 1, page 13]. For $|\bar{m}| > e^{-t}$, we will compare the kernel with $|\bar{m}|^{-(Q+i2\lambda)}$. We claim that,

$$\left|\frac{1}{[(e^{-4t}+2ce^{-2t}|V|^2)+|\bar{m}|^4]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}} - \frac{1}{|\bar{m}|^{(Q+i2\lambda)}}\right| \leq C\frac{e^{-t}}{|\bar{m}|^{Q+1}}, \tag{3.18}$$

for all $\bar{m} \in B(e^{-t})$. Consider the function $\phi : (0,\infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\phi(r) = r^{-Q-2i\lambda}$. If we take $r = |\bar{m}|$ and $s = [(e^{-4t}+2ce^{-2t}|V|^2)+|\bar{m}|^4]^{1/4}$ then it follows from the mean value theorem that there exists $r_0 \in (r,s)$ such that

$$|\phi(s)-\phi(r)| = |s-r||\phi'(r_0)| = C|s-r|r_0^{-Q-1}.$$

Since $e^{-t} < |\bar{m}|$ we have $|\bar{m}| \leq r_0 \leq 3|\bar{m}|$ and $|s-r| \leq Ce^{-t}$. This proves our claim. We also have the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|\bar{m}|>e^{-t}} \frac{e^{-t}}{|\bar{m}|^{Q+1}}|\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})|\, d\bar{m} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{2^je^{-t}<|\bar{m}|\leq 2^{j+1}e^{-t}} \frac{e^{-t}}{|\bar{m}|^{Q+1}}|\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})|\, d\bar{m} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{e^{-t}}{(2^je^{-t})^{Q+1}}\int_{B(2^{j+1}e^{-t})}|\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})|\, d\bar{m} \\ &\leq C_Q\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^j|B(2^{j+1}e^{-t})|}\int_{B(2^{j+1}e^{-t})}|\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})|\, d\bar{m} \\ &\leq C_QM_0\psi(\bar{n}),\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where $|B(2^{j+1}e^{-t})|$ denotes the Haar measure of $B(2^{j+1}e^{-t})$. If we combine the inequalities (3.18) and (3.19) we get

$$\left|\int_{|\bar{m}|>e^{-t}} \frac{1}{[(e^{-4t}+2ce^{-2t}|V|^2)+|\bar{m}|^4]^{(i\lambda+\rho)/2}}\psi(\bar{n}\bar{m})\, d\bar{m}\right| \leq CT\psi(\bar{n}), \tag{3.20}$$

where $T\psi(\bar{n}) = M_0\psi(\bar{n}) + T_*\psi(\bar{n})$. From (3.16), (3.17) and (3.20) we now have the following pointwise estimate of the Poisson transform

$$|\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(\bar{n}a_t\cdot o)| \leq Ce^{-\rho t}T\psi(\bar{n})).$$

We will use the above estimate to show that the Poisson transform belongs to weak L^2. In this regard, for any $\beta > 0$ we have,

$$\mu\{\bar{n}a_t \in X||\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(\bar{n}a_t\cdot o)| > \beta\} \leq \int_{\bar{N}}\int_{-\infty}^{t_0} e^{2\rho t}dt\, d\bar{n} = \frac{C}{\beta^2}\int_{\bar{N}} T\psi(\bar{n})^2\, d\bar{n}, \tag{3.21}$$

where $\frac{CT\psi(\bar{n})}{\beta^2} = e^{2\rho t_0}$ and μ denotes the G invariant measure on X. We know from Theorem 2.1 that the operator T_* is bounded from $L^2(\bar{N})$ to $L^2(\bar{N})$ and hence so is T. Therefore from (2.13) and (3.21) we get

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\lambda F\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} \leq C_\lambda \|\psi\|_{L^2(\bar{N})} = C_\lambda \|F\|_{L^2(K/M)}.$$

This complete the proof. □

To complete the proof of the Theorem 1.1 we will now invoke a result of Ionescu [16, Theorem 1]. For a locally integrable function u on X define

$$M(u) = \left(\limsup_{R\to\infty} \frac{1}{R} \int_{(B(o,R))} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

It was proved in [16] that if $\Delta u = -(\lambda^2+\rho^2)u$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ and $M(u) < \infty$ then $u = \mathcal{P}_\lambda F$ for some $F \in L^2(K/M)$ (see also [3]). Theorem 1.1 will follow immediately once we prove the following simple lemma (although it was proved in [20] but for the sake of completeness we provide the detail here).

Lemma 3.2. *If* $\Delta u = -(\lambda^2 + \rho^2)u$ *for* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $u \in L^{2,\infty}(X)$ *then*

$$M(u) \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)}.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\int_{(B(o,R))} |u(x)|^2 \, dx \leq CR\|u\|^2_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} \quad \text{for all } \ R > 0. \tag{3.22}$$

Since the inequality (3.22) follows easily for $R \leq 1$ we will concentrate only in the case $R > 1$. If u^* denotes the decreasing rearrangement of u then it follows from definition of weak L^2 spaces that

$$u^*(s)^2 \leq \frac{1}{s}\|u\|^2_{2,\infty}, \quad \text{for all } s > 0. \tag{3.23}$$

It follows from the similar argument given in [21, Lemma 2] (see also [20]) that

$$|u(ka_t.o)| \leq C\|u\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} e^{\alpha t} \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0 \text{ and for all } t > 0.$$

Since $(\chi_{B(o,R)})^* = \chi_{(0,|B(o,R)|)}$ (see [9, page 46]) it follows from the above inequality that

$$(\chi_{B(o,R)}|u|)^*(t)^2 \leq C\|u\|^2_{2,\infty} e^{2\alpha R} \chi_{(0,|B(o,R)|)}(t). \tag{3.24}$$

Using the fact that the G-invariant measure $\mu B(o,R)$ of the ball $\mu B(o,R)$ is propositional to $e^{2\rho R}$ for $R \geq 1$ it follows from (3.23) and (3.24) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(o,R)} |u(x)|^2 dx &\leq C \int_0^{\mu B(o,R)} u^*(s)^2 ds \\
&\leq C \int_0^{\mu B(o,R)} \min\left\{ \|u\|_{2,\infty}^2 e^{2\alpha R}, \|u\|_{2,\infty}^2 \frac{1}{t} \right\} dt \\
&\leq C\|u\|_{2,\infty}^2 \int_0^{e^{2\rho R}} \min\left\{ e^{2\alpha R}, \frac{1}{t} \right\} dt \\
&= C\|u\|_{2,\infty}^2 \left(\int_0^{e^{-2\alpha R}} e^{2\alpha R} dt + \int_{e^{-2\alpha R}}^{e^{2\rho R}} \frac{dt}{t} \right) \\
&= C\|u\|_{2,\infty}^2 \left(1 + 2\rho R + 2\alpha R\right) \\
&\leq C\|u\|_{2,\infty}^2 R, \qquad (3.25)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

An immediate consequence of the above results is [16, Proposition 4]:

Corollary 3.3. *If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^* \setminus \{0\}$ and $F \in L^2(K/M)$ then*

$$\left(\sup_R \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(o,R)} |\mathcal{P}_\lambda F(x)|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C_\lambda \|F\|_{L(K/M)}.$$

4. SOME CONSEQUENCES

Theorem 1.1 has certain consequences which are worth mentioning. One of them is related to the restriction (like) theorem for the Helgason Fourier transform on X. The idea of Fourier restriction theorem on $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ originated in the work of Stein. The celebrated Tomas–Stein restriction Theorem says that the Fourier transform $\hat{f}$ of a function $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a well defined restriction on the sphere S^{n-1} via the inequality,

$$\|\widehat{f}|_{S^{n-1}}\|_{L^2(S^{n-1})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \text{for all } \ 1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n+3}{n+3}$$

(see [26, page 365]). We are interested in similar inequalities for the Helgason Fourier transform of suitable functions on X. Given $f \in C_c^\infty(X)$ the Helgason Fourier transform $\widetilde{f}$ of f is defined by [13, page 199]

$$\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) = \int_X f(x) e^{(i\lambda+\rho)A(x,b)} \, dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \ \ b \in K/M. \qquad (4.26)$$

For fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the norm inequality of the form

$$\left(\int_{K/M} |\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b)|^q db \right)^{1/q} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(X)}$$

can be thought as an analogue of Fourier restriction theorem in the context of a symmetric space X. For $1 \leq p < 2$ the analogue of restriction theorem for L^p functions on X with rank $X = 1$ was proved in [21]. This result was extended for more general spaces in [22], [19]. It was also shown in [19] that the best posible analogue of restriction theorem for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is the following: Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ there exists a constant $C_{\lambda,p} > 0$ such that the following inequality holds

$$\left(\int_{K/M} |\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b)|^2 db \right)^{1/2} \leq C_{\lambda,p} \|f\|_{L^p(X)}, \quad 1 \leq p < 2.$$

It is the end point case of the above inequality which we are interested in. It turns out that this problem can be solved very easily by using estimates of the Poisson transform. We first observe that for a given $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $F \in C^\infty(K/M)$ the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_\lambda F$ is related to the Helgason Fourier transform $\widetilde{f}(\lambda, \cdot)$ as follows:

$$\int_{K/M} \widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) F(b)\, db = \int_X f(x) \mathcal{P}_\lambda F(x)\, dx.$$

By using the estimate (1.4) and the above equation we have the following version of the restriction Theorem :

Theorem 4.1. *If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *then there exists a constant* $C_\lambda > 0$ *such that,*

$$\left(\int_{K/M} |\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b)|^2 db \right)^{1/2} \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(X)}, \quad \textit{for all } f \in L^{2,1}(X). \tag{4.27}$$

Proof. If $F \in L^2(K/M)$ then using the fact that the dual of $L^{2,1}(X)$ is $L^{2,\infty}(X)$ and the estimate (1.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{K/M} |\widetilde{f}(\lambda, b) F(b) db \right| &= \left| \int_X f(x) \mathcal{P}_\lambda F(x)\, dx \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(X)} \|P_\lambda F\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} \\ &\leq C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(X)} \|F\|_{L^2(K/M)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.1 can be used to deduce an interesting analytic property of the spectral projection operator considered in [28]. Authors of [6] used the Kunze Stein phenomenon to prove that the spectral projection operator $f \mapsto f * \phi_\lambda$ satisfies the estimate $\|f * \phi_\lambda\|_{L^{p'}(X)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(X)}$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq p < 2$ (the result is valid even if rank $X > 1$). We now present the following end point estimate of the spectral projection operator which is closely related to the behavior of the noncentral Hardy Littlewood maximal operator on X (see [15]).

Corollary 4.2. *If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *then the linear map* $f \longrightarrow f * \phi_\lambda$ *is restricted weak type* $(2, 2)$.

Proof. From [12, Lemma 4.4] we have the relation $f * \phi_\lambda(x) = \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\widetilde{f}(-\lambda, \cdot))(x)$. Using (1.4), (4.27) and the above relation we have

$$\|f * \phi_\lambda\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} = \|\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\widetilde{f}(-\lambda, \cdot))\|_{L^{2,\infty}(X)} \leq C_\lambda \|\widetilde{f}(-\lambda, \cdot)\|_{L^2(K/M)} \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(X)}. \tag{4.28}$$

$\square$

Remark 4.3. If $1 \le p < 2$ and $\lambda = \alpha + i\gamma_p\rho$ ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) then it follows from [19, Theorem 1.1] that $\|f * \phi_\lambda\|_{L^{p',\infty}(S)} \le C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{p,1}(S)}$. By using the standard estimate $|\phi_{\alpha+i\gamma_{p'}\rho}(x)| \asymp \kappa_p(x)$ where κ_p is the radial function defined by $\kappa_p(x) = e^{\frac{-2\rho r(x)}{p'}}$ we get the estimate

$$\|f * \kappa_p\|_{L^{p',\infty}(X)} \le C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{p,1}(X)} \quad 1 \le p < 2. \tag{4.29}$$

For $p = 2$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ we have the estimate $|\phi_\alpha(x)| \le C_\alpha \kappa_2(x)$. Since the spectral projection operator, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, is restricted weak type $(2, 2)$ one may ask whether the same holds for the operator obtained by convolving with the larger kernel κ_2. By modifying an example given in [14] we will show that this is not the case. We first recall the following properties of the function $r(x)$ from [10, page 167] which will be needed

$$r(ka_t) = r(a_t) = t, \quad r(x) = r(x^{-1}), \quad r(xy) \le r(x) + r(y) \tag{4.30}$$

for all $k \in K$, $x, y \in G$ and $t > 0$. We also use the functional equation for the elementary spherical functions [12, Chapter IV]

$$\int_K \phi_0(xky)\, dk = \phi_0(x)\phi_0(y), \tag{4.31}$$

and the estimate [1, page 648]

$$\phi_0(x) \asymp (1 + r(x))e^{-\rho r(x)}. \tag{4.32}$$

Consider the radial function $f : X \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$ given by $f(x) = e^{-\rho r(x)}(1 + r(x))^{-3/2}$. It follows from the calculation [14, page 92] that $f \in L^{2,1}(X)$. We will show that $f * \kappa_2 \notin L^{2,\infty}(X)$. By using the Cartan decomposition (2.9) we have,

$$f * \kappa_2(a_s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho t}(1 + t)^{-3/2} \int_K e^{-\rho r(a_{-t}ka_s)} dk J(t) dt \tag{4.33}$$

where $J(t) = (\sinh t)^{m_1}(\sinh 2t)^{m_2}$. From (4.30), (4.31) and (4.32) and we get

$$\int_K e^{-\rho r(a_{-t}ka_s)} dk = \int_K e^{-\rho r(a_{-t}ka_s)} \frac{(1 + r(a_{-t}ka_s))}{(1 + r(a_{-t}ka_s))} dk \ge C \frac{1}{1 + t + s} \phi_0(a_t)\phi_0(a_s)$$

If we use estimates above, (4.32) and $J(t) \asymp e^{2\rho t}$ (for $t \ge 1$) then from (4.33) we have

$$f * \kappa_2(a_s) \ge C \frac{1 + s}{1 + 2s} e^{-\rho s} \int_1^s e^{-2\rho t}(1 + t)^{-1/2} e^{2\rho t} dt \ge C s^{1/2} e^{-\rho s} \tag{4.34}$$

for large s. It is now easy to see that $f * \kappa_2 \notin L^{2,\infty}(X)$.

Comment regarding the Fourier transform on Damek–Ricci Spaces: The notion of Helgason Fourier transform is also meaningful for functions on Damek–Ricci space [2]. It is well known that Damek–Ricci spaces include all Riemannian symmetric spaces of noncompact type with real rank one [1]. The analogue of restriction theorem in this setup was proved in [22] and [19]. By using arguments similar to symmetric spaces one can prove the following version of Theorem 4.1 for Damek–Ricci spaces S.

Theorem 4.4. *If* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ *and* $f \in L^{2,1}(S)$ *then,*

$$\left(\int_N |\widetilde{f}(\lambda, n)|^2 dn\right)^{1/2} \leq C_\lambda \|f\|_{L^{2,1}(S)}. \tag{4.35}$$

The above theorem extends the following result proved in [19].

Theorem 4.5. *Let* f *be a measurable function on* S *and* $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

i) *For* $f \in L^{p,1}(S), 1 \leq p < 2$ *and* $p \leq q \leq p'$,

$$\left(\int_N |\widetilde{f}(\alpha + i\gamma_q \rho, n)|^q dn\right)^{1/q} \leq C_{p,q}\|f\|_{p,1},\ C_{1,q} = 1.$$

ii) *For* $f \in L^{p,\infty}(S), 1 < p < 2$, $p < q < p'$,

$$\left(\int_N |\widetilde{f}(\alpha + i\gamma_q \rho, n)|^q dn\right)^{1/q} \leq C_{p,q}\|f\|_{p,\infty}.$$

The constants $C_{p,q} > 0$ *are independent of* α *and* f. *Estimates* i) *and* ii) *are sharp.*

References

[1] Anker,J-P.; Damek, E.; Yacoub, C. *Spherical analysis on harmonic ANgroups.* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **23** (1996),no. 4, 643–679.

[2] Astengo, F.; Camporesi, R.; Di Blasio, B. *The Helgason Fourier transform on a class of nonsymmetric harmonic spaces.* Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **55** (1997), no. 3, 405–424.

[3] Boussejra, A.; Sami,H. *Characterization of the* L^p*-range of the Poisson transform in hyperbolic spaces* $B(\mathbb{F}^n)$. J. Lie Theory **12** (2002), no. 1, 1–14.

[4] Cowling, M *The Kunze-Stein phenomenon.* Ann. Math. (2) **107** (1978), no. 2, 209234.

[5] Cowling, M. *Herz's "principe de majoration" and the Kunze-Stein phenomenon.* Harmonic analysis and number theory, 73–88, CMS Conf. Proc., **21**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[6] Cowling, M.; Giulini, S.; Meda, S.L^p*Lp-*L^q*Lq estimates for functions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on noncompact symmetric spaces.I.* Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), no. 1, 109150.

[7] Folland, G. B.; Stein, E. M. *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups.* Mathematical Notes, **28**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1982.

[8] H. Furstenberg *A Poisson formula for semi-simple groups,* Annals of Mathematics 77 (1963), 335–386.

[9] Loukas Grafakos, *Classical and modern Fourier analysis.* Pearson Education, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2004.

[10] Gangolli, R; Varadarajan, V. S. *Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on real reductive groups.* Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **101** Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[11] Helgason, S. *Eigenspaces of the Laplacian, integral representations and irreducibility.* J. Funct. Anal. **17** (1974), 328-353.

[12] S. Helgason *Groups and geometric analysis. Integral geometry, invariant differential operators, and spherical functions. Corrected reprint of the 1984 original.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **83**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[13] Helgason, S. *Geometric analysis on symmetric spaces. Second edition.* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **39**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[14] Ionescu, A. D. *A maximal operator and a covering lemma on non-compact symmetric spaces,* Math. Res. Lett. **7** (2000) 83-93.

[15] Ionescu, A. D. *An endpoint estimate for the Kunze-Stein phenomenon and related maximal operators.* Ann. of Math. (2) **152** (2000), no. 1, 259–275.

[16] Ionescu, A. D. *On the Poisson transform on symmetric spaces of real rank one.* J. Funct. Anal. **174** (2000), no. 2, 513–523.

[17] Kashiwara, M.; Kowata, A.; Minemura, K.; Okamoto, K.; Oshima, T.; Tanaka M. *Eigenfunctions of invariant differential operators on a symmetric space.* Ann. of Math. **107** (1978), 1-39

[18] Knapp, A. W.; Stein, E. M. *Intertwining operator for semisimple groups.* Ann. of Math. **93** (1971), 489-578

[19] Kumar, P.; Ray, S. K.; Sarkar, R. P. *The role of restriction theorems in harmonic analysis on harmonic NA groups.* J. Funct. Anal. **258** (2010), no. 7, 2453–2482.

[20] Kumar, P.; Ray, S. K.; Sarkar, R. P. *Characterization of almost L^p-eigenfunctions of the Laplace-Beltrami operator*, submitted for publication.

[21] N. Lohoué and T. Rychener *Some function spaces on symmetric spaces related to convolution operators,* J. Funct. Anal. **55** (1984), 200–219.

[22] Ray, S. K.; Sarkar, R. P. *Fourier and Radon transform on harmonic NA groups.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 8, 4269–4297.

[23] P. Sjögren, *Characterizations of Poisson integrals on symmetric spaces,* Math. Scand. **49** (1981), 229–249.

[24] P. Sjögren, *Asymptotic behaviour of generalized Poisson integrals in rank one symmetric spaces and in trees,* Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **15** (1988), no. 1, 99113 (1989).

[25] Salem Ben Saïd, Toshio Oshima, Nobukazu Shimeno *Fatou's theorems and Hardy-type spaces for eigenfunctions of the invariant differential operators on symmetric spaces.* Int. Math. Res. Not. 2003, no. **16**, 915–931.

[26] Stein, E. M. *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals.* Princeton Mathematical Series, **43**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[27] Stein, E. M.; Weiss, G. *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces.* Princeton Mathematical Series, No. **32**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971

[28] Strichartz, R. S. *Harmonic analysis as spectral theory of Laplacians.* J. Funct. Anal. **87** (1989), 51-148

[29] Strichartz, R. S. *Corrigendum to: "Harmonic analysis as spectral theory of Laplacians" [J. Funct. Anal.* **87** *(1989), no. 1, 51–148; MR1025883 (91c:43015)].* J. Funct. Anal. **109** (1992), 457-460

(Pratyoosh Kumar) State-Math Unit, Indian Statistical Institute 203 B.T.Road, Kolkata. 700108, India, E-mail: pratyoosh(uderscore)v(at)isical(dot)ac(dot)in